

Biological Forum – An International Journal

13(3a): 441-447(2021)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Impact of Fungicides on *Bipolaris sorokiniana* causing Spot Blotch Disease of Wheat under *in Vitro* and *in Vivo* Condition

Vinay Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Guddu Kumar<sup>2\*</sup>, C.S. Azad<sup>3</sup>, Amarendra Kumar<sup>3</sup> and Manish Raj<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Plant Pathology, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar), India.
<sup>2</sup>M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Post-harvest Technology), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar), India.
<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor-cum-Junior Scientist, Department of Plant Pathology, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar), India.
<sup>4</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar), India.

(Corresponding author: Guddu Kumar\*) (Received 13 July 2021, Accepted 20 September, 2021) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Spot blotch of wheat is caused by *Bipolaris sorokiniana* and it is considered as one of the emerging disease. They affect the crop at any stage right from beginning to maturity of cropand cause 25 to 35 per cent loss in yield. In present study efforts were made to find out suitable management measures through various chemicals. Under *in vitro* condition, propiconazole and tebuconazole gave 100 per cent growth inhibition of *B. sorokiniana* even at lower concentration (25 ppm). Azoxystrobin, thiram and carboxin were also found effective as compared to control Under field condition, ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by secondspray at 20 days interval (two sprays) proved to be best in reducing spot blotch disease at harddough stage. Maximum seed germination per cent (96.00%) was recorded in three treatments*viz.*, ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed, ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% (one) at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf and ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays) followed (93 %) by ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed. Maximum disease incidence (14%) was recorded in control while minimum disease incidence (5%) was recorded in ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays) followed (@ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays) followed (93 %) by ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed. Maximum disease incidence (14%) was recorded in control while minimum disease incidence (5%) was recorded in ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays).

Keywords: Spot blotch, fungicides, propiconazole, tebuconazole, Bipolaris sorokiniana.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is considered as one of the most widely grown and consumed food crop of the world. Presently, it provides 20 per cent of food calories to mankind in the world and it is staple food for nearly 40 per cent of world population (Pingali, 1999). There are several constraints to the world production of wheat. The crops suffers from at least 17 different diseases in the country (Ahmed and Hossain, 1985), fungi cause twelve of them. Among the fungal diseases, five are considered economically important because of their damaging nature and wide distribution throughout the wheat growing areas of the country. These diseases are spot blotch, foliar leaf blight, seedling blight, leaf rust, foot and root rot and black point of wheat, of which spot blotch is economically most important one (Ahmed and Hossain, 2005). Bipolaris leaf spot/blight caused by Bipolaris sorokiniana (Syn. Cochliobolus sativus) is the major and devastating disease of wheat in India (Hossain and Azad, 1992). The pathogen is considered to contribute significantly in lowering average yield of cereals in many developing countries and is one of the constraints for crop in warmer growing

areas (Bhatti, 1988). Zhang and Yuen, (1999) assessed the loss of yield of wheat in China and found that grain yield of wheat reduced significantly by spotblotch. Not a single cultivar in the country is found to free from this disease (Hossain and Azad, 1992). The yield loss in wheat due to spot blotch disease in the country has been reported to the country due to 20% in variety Sonalika, 14 and 8% in Akbar and Kanchan, respectively (Razzaque and Hossain, 1991). In farmers field the yield loss was estimated to 14.97 per cent (Alam et al., 1995). This disease reduced yield up to 40% in field conditionbut yield reduction of wheat due to spot blotch caused by Bipolarisis sorokiniana 57 and 65% in Kanchan and Solanika varieties, respectively (Hossain et al., 1998). So, we have needed to know the effective fungicides to manage the disease.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The *in vitro* experiments were conducted at PG laboratory of the Department of Plant Pathology and Field experiments were conducted in Rabi season in the year 2017 at Bihar Agricultural University farm, Sabour that is situated at an altitude of 45 meter above mean sea

Kumar et al.,

level, lies between  $24^{\circ}30''$  and  $25^{\circ}30''$  at North latitude and  $86^{\circ}3''$  and  $87^{\circ}3''$  East longitude to find out the effective fungicides for effective management against *Bipolarisis sorokiniana*.

### For in vitro experiments

Experiments on the screening of fungicides were tested against *B. sorokiniana*, under laboratory conditions by employing Poisoned Food Technique (Sharvelle, 1961). Stock solution of each treatment (fungicides) was prepared by using following formula:

$$C1V1 = C2V2$$

Where,  $C_1$  = Concentration of stock solution (gm/ml), C<sub>2</sub> = Desired concentration (gm/ml), V<sub>1</sub> = Volume (ml) of the stock solution to be added and V<sub>2</sub> = Measured volume (ml) of the PDA medium.

### For *in vitro* experiments

Under field conditions, the disease data was recorded in three stages (flowering, dough and hard dough) from randomly selected 25 plants from each plot tagged. So, 25 plants plot-1 were tagged for disease rating using the double-digit (dd) scale.

### In vitro effect of different fungicides against B. sorokiniana

Efficiency of six different fungicides *viz.*, Azoxystrobin, Carboxin, Propiconazole, Tebuconazoze, Mancozeb, Thiram against mycelial growth of *B. Sorokiniana* was studied under *in vitro* condition by Poisoned Food Technique (Sharvelle, 1961) at three concentrations *viz.* 25, 50, 100 ppm. Required quantity of each fungicide was added to Potato Dextrose Agar medium prior to solidification and thoroughly mixed them by shaking prior to pouring in sterilized Petri plates of 70 mm. The medium was allowed to solidify. 5 mm bits of fungus culture were cut with the help of sterilized cork borer from 20 days old culture and then put at the center of Petri plates with sterilized inoculation needle. The fungal bit was reversed so that the pathogen could come in direct contact with the medium. One set of control was maintained in which the medium will be not mixed with any fungicide, simply inoculated with the pathogen. Five replications of each treatment were maintained in incubator at  $27 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 15 days.

### Observations

Mycelial growth (mm) was taken 15 days after incubation. The per cent inhibition over control was calculated in both fungicides and nanoparticles by Vincent's (1947) formula I=  $[(C-T)/C] \times 100$ 

Where, I= Percent inhibition, C = Radial growth of fungus in control, and T = Radial growth of fungus in treatment.

## In vitro effect of different fungicides against B. sorokiniana

The variety Agra local, which is highly susceptible to spot blotch, was used for the study in year 2017. Ten treatments of fungicides with one check were laid out in randomizedblock design (RBD) with three replications. The plot size was maintained at 3 rows of 5 m length, row to row distance 20 cm and recommended agronomic practices were followed to raise the crop. Four fungicides namely Thiram 37.5%, Propiconazole 25% EC, Tebuconazole 25% EC and Mancozeb 75% WP and another two fungicides mixture Carboxin 37.5% + Thiram 37.5% were applied in the field in different mode with a different spraying schedule. The ten different treatments mentioned below:

Treatments				
T1	ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed			
T2	ST by thiram + carboxin @ $2.5 \text{ g/kg of seed}$			
T3	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS sprays of propiconazole @ 0.1% (one)at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf			
T4	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leafstage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second			
	spray at 20 days interval (two sprays)			
T5	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf			
T6	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second sprayat 20 days interval (two sprays)			
T7	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf			
T8	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second sprayat 20 days interval (two spray			
T9	Three FS of mancozeb @ 0.25% at boot leaf stage on Flag-2 leaf followed by second and third spray at 10 days intervals each			
	(three spray)			
T10	Control			

### Observations

1. Seed germination at 20DAS.

2. Disease incidence.

- 3. Record of spot blotch at flowering, dough and hard dough stages
- 4. Seed weight/plot (Kg)
- 5. 1000 grain weight (gm).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

A. In vitro effect of different fungicides against B. sorokiniana

In the present study, six fungicides *viz*. azoxystrobin, carboxin, propiconazole, tebuconazole, mancozeb, thiram were evaluated at three different concentrations *viz.*, 25, 50 and 100 ppm under *in vitro* condition against mycelial growth of *Bipolaris sorokiniana*.

Data pertaining to colony diameter and per cent inhibition of mycelial growth presented in Table 1, Fig. 1a & b revealed that all the fungicides were superior in inhibiting the mycelial growth of fungus over check. Among all treatments tebuconazole and propiconazol were found most effective giving 100 per cent inhibition even at lowest tested concentration i.e. 25 ppm. Among other fungicides at 25 ppm concentration, colony diameter of Bipolaris sorokiniana ranged from 16.00-35.32 mm being minimum (16.00 mm) in azoxystrobin and maximum (35.32 mm) in carboxin. After propiconazole and tebuconazole, azoxystrobin was found effective fungicides at 25 ppm by giving 64.25 per cent mycelial inhibition which was followed by thiram which inhibited 45.85 per cent of mycelial growth. Carboxin was found to be least effective giving 21.09

Kumar et al.,

per cent mycelial inhibition. Same trend was observed at 50 and 100 ppm concentrations also where highest inhibition (100%) was in propiconazole and tebuconazole and lowest inhibition in carboxin. Both the triazoles gave 100 per cent growth inhibition at all concentrations and were found most effective followed by azoxystrobin, thiram, mencozeb and carboxin.

The results of present experiment are in complete agreement with Naresh *et al.*, (2009) who reported that azoxystrobin, carboxin, propiconazole, tubuconazole, mencozeb and thiram were highly effective in controlling *Bipolaris sorokiniana*. Hasan *et al.*, (2012) has reported 100 per cent growth inhibition of *B. sorokiniana*. Samia *et al.* (2015) has also studied the effect of propiconazole against *B. sorokiniana* and found that after 7 days of inoculation no mycelia

growth was found in all treated plate except control in all isolate of

**B.** sorokiniana. Our findings match with these, but confront the finding of Giri *et al.* (2001) who reported that mancozeb were highly effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth (90.5%) of *B. sorokiniana*. Duveiller and Dubin, (2002) found that triazole group tebuconazole and propiconazole was most effective in inhibition of mycelial growth *B. sorokiniana* of wheat under *in vitro* condition. It can be concluded that all tested fungicides have greater effect on growth of *Bipolaris sorokiniana*. Propiconazole, tebuconazole and azoxystrobin were found best fungicides can contribute towards the management of disease caused by *Bipolaris sorokiniana*.

Table 1: Effect of fungicides on mycelial growth of *Bipolaris sorokiniana*.

	25 ppm		50 ppm		100 ppm	
Treatments	Mycelial Growth (mm)*	Growth inhibition(%)	Mycelial growth(mm)*	Growth inhibition(%)	Mycelial growth(mm)*	Growth inhibition(%)
Carboxin	35.32	21.09	29.52	34.05	25.10	43.92
Azoxystrobin	16.00	64.25	14.00	68.72	12.00	73.19
Propiconazole	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00
Tubuconazole	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00
Mencozeb	28.94	35.35	25.40	43.25	20.28	54.69
Thiram	24.24	45.85	20.28	54.69	16.52	63.09
Control	44.76	0.00	44.76	0.00	44.76	0.00
CD @ 1%	1.74	-	2.13	-	0.55	-
CV	4.66	-	6.38	-	1.85	-
SEM±	0.44		0.55		0.14	

\* Mean of five replications.



(a)



Fig. 1. Effect of fungicides on mycelial growth of *Bipolaris sorokiniana*.Biological Forum – An International Journal13(3a): 441-447(2021)

B. In vivo effect of different fungicides against B. sorokiniana

Effect of fungicides on seed germination and disease incidence. The data on seed germination and disease incidence of wheat presented in Table 2 and showed that all the fungicides reduced the disease incidence and enhancing seed germination significantly in comparison to untreated control irrespective of their mode of applications. Seed germination at 20 days after sowing (DAS) ranged from 80-96 per cent. Minimum seed germination (80.00%) was observed in control while maximum seed germination per cent (96.00%) was recorded in three treatments *viz.*, ST by thiram + carboxin@ 2.5 g/kg of seed, ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS sprays of propiconazole @ 0.1% (one) at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf and ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays) followed (93%) by ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed.

Disease incidence at 20 days after sowing (DAS) ranged from 5-14 per cent.Maximum disease incidence (14%) was recorded in control while minimum disease incidence (5%) was recorded in ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days'interval (two sprays) which was followed by 6% in case of ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed (6%) and ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS sprays of propiconazole @ 0.1% (one) at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf. Our observations are in accordance with the findings of the numerous workers. Selvakumar *et al.*, (2015); Kumar *et al.*, (2000).

Table 2: Effect of fungicides on disease incidence and seed germination at 20 DAS.

	Treatments	Seed germination %	Disease incidence %
T1	ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed	93	11
T2	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed	96	6
T3	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS sprays of propiconazole @ 0.1% (one) at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	96	6
T4	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays)	96	5
T5	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	91	9
T6	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays)	91	9
T7	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	91	9
T8	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two spray	91	9
Т9	Three FS of mancozeb @ 0.25% at boot leaf stage on Flag-2 leaf followed by second and third spray at 10 days intervals each(three spray)	91	10
T10	Control	80	14
	CD @ 5%		2.56
	CV		17.15
	SEM±		0.86

\* Mean of three replications.

\* FS-Foliar spray

\* ST-Seed treatment

Effect of fungicides on spot blotch severity at Flowering, Dough and Hard Dough stage. Severity of spot blotch per plot was screened under field conditions against *B. sorokiniana*. The disease was scored on 0-9 dd scale. The progress of the disease in susceptible variety Agra local was monitored from 2nd fortnight of March and disease severity at flowering, dough and hard dough stage during 2016-17 crop seasons. Data presented in Table 3.

At flowering stage disease severity ranged from 12-36 in dd scale. Minimum spot blotch severity (12) in dd scale was recorded in three treatments like ST by thiram + carboxin@ 2.5 g/kg of seed, ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed FS sprays of propiconazole@ 0.1% (one) at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf and ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays) which was followed by FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf whereas maximum leaf blight/blotch were recorded in control i.e. 36 in dd scale. At dough stage spot blotch severity ranged from 23 to 47 in dd scale. Minimum spotblotch severity (23) was recorded in ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days' interval (two sprays) which was at par with ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed and ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS sprays of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf i.e. 24 in dd scale whereas maximum spot blotch severity (47) were recorded in control. At hard dough stage spot blotch severity ranged from 34 to 69 in dd scale. Minimum spot blotch severity (34) was recorded in ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days' interval (two sprays) which was at par with ST by thiram + carboxin

Kumar et al.,

@ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf i.e. 35 in dd scale whereas maximum spot blotch severity (69) were recorded in

control. Our observations are in accordance with the findings of the numerous workers. Goulart *et al.*, (1995); Malaker and Mian (2009); Pandey and Tewari (1999).

	Treatments	Flowering	Dough	Harddough
T1	ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed	23	34	57
T2	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed	12	24	46
т2	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS spraysof propiconazole @ 0.1% (one)			
15	at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	12	24	35
т4	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf			
14	stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays)	12	23	34
T5	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	23	34	47
т6	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray			
10	at 20 days interval (two sprays)	23	34	46
T7	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	24	35	47
	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray			
T8	at 20 days interval (two spray	23	34	46
то	Three FS of mancozeb @ 0.25% at boot leaf stage on Flag-2 leaf followed by second			
19	and third spray at 10 days intervals each (three spray)	23	35	57
T10	Control	36	47	69
	CD @ 5%	1.04	0.56	0.87
	CV	2.84	1.01	1.04
	SEM±	0.35	0.24	0.29

Table 3: Evaluation	of fungicidal	on spot	blotch of	wheat.
I upic 5, Li uluulion	or rungicium	on spot	bioten or	mincut.

\* Mean of three replications.

\* FS-Foliar spray

\* ST-Seed treatment.

### Seed weight/plot (Kg) and 1000 grain weight (gm)

The effect of different fungicides also reflected on yield attributes like grain yield as well as 1000 grain weight. Data pertaining to Seed weight/plot (Kg) and 1000 grain weight(gm) presented in Table 4.

All the treatments showed increase in the yield attributes as compared to control. Seed weight/plot varied from 2.91-4.48 kg. Maximum Seed weight/plot (4.48 kg) was recorded in ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days' interval (two sprays) which was at par with FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf (4.07 kg) whereas minimum Seed weight/plot (2.91 kg)

was recorded in control.

Same trend was observed in case of 1000 grains weight per plot. 1000 grains weight per plot varied from 41.38-47.03 gm. Maximum 1000 grains weight per plot (47.03 gm) wasobserved ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at bootleaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days' interval (two sprays) which was followed by FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% @ 0.25% at boot leaf stage on flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days' intervals (two sprays). While 1000 grains weight (41.38 gm) was recorded in control. Our observations are in accordance with the findings of the numerous workers. Mahapatra and Das 2013) and Singh *et al.* (1995).

Table 4: Effect	t of fungicide	on yield	of wheat.
-----------------	----------------	----------	-----------

	Treatments	Seed weight/plot(kg)	1000 grains weight (gm)
T1	ST by thiram @ 3 g/kg of seed	3.12	42.53
T2	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed	3.55	43.80
Т3	ST by thiram + carboxin @ $2.5$ g/kg of seed + FS sprays of propiconazole @ $0.1\%$ (one) at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	4.03	44.71
T4	ST by thiram + carboxin @ 2.5 g/kg of seed + FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followedby second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays)	4.48	47.03
T5	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	3.90	44.00
T6	FS of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two sprays)	4.07	44.95
T7	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf	3.90	44.21
Т8	FS of tebuconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage on Flag-1 leaf followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two spray	4.01	45.12
Т9	Three FS of mancozeb @ 0.25% at boot leaf stage on Flag-2 leaf followed by second and third spray at 10 days intervals each (three spray)	3.70	44.28
T10	Control	2.91	41.38
	CD @ 5%	0.24	0.32
	CV	3.76	0.42
	SEM±	0.82	0.10

\* Mean of three replications.

\* FS-Foliar spray

\* ST-Seed treatment

### CONCLUSION

• The present study revealed that under *in vitro* condition propiconazole and tebuconazole completely checked growth of *B. sorokiniana* even at 25 ppm. Azoxystrobin, thiram and carboxin were also found effective in checking the growth of *B. sorokiniana*. Among *in vitro* test of fertilizers maximum percent inhibition were recorded in urea at 1.5% i.e. 81.03%, which was at per with soluble potash (80.76%). Among *in vitro* test of nano compounds maximum percent inhibition (64.81%) were recorded in silver nanoparticles @ 100 ppm, which was at per with aluminium nanoparticles (55.34%). Among bio-agents *Trichoderma harzianum* (Th-b1), BAU isolate proved to be better in all respect over other bio-agents in dual culture technique.

◆ Under detached leaf technique maximum incubation period and maximum disease severity was found in case of PBW 660 i.e. 6.67 days and 10.00% respectively which was followed by HD 2967 i.e. 5.67 days and 20.33 respectively. Minimum average number of lesion per leaf (1.33) and necrotic lesion area (0.73 mm<sup>2</sup>) was found in PBW 660 and HD 2967 respectively.

◆ Under field conditions seed treatment by carboxin @ 2.5g/kg of seed + foliar spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf or at the time of initiation of disease on flag-1 followed by second spray at 20 days interval (two spray) proved to be best in reducing spot blotch disease of wheat. Under field condition maximum seed wt/plot (4.48) and 1000 grain wt. (47.03g) was found in case of only one foliar spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage. Maximum seed germination (96.00%) and minimum disease incidence (5%) was found found in case only one foliar spary of propiconazole @ 0.1% at boot leaf stage.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Department of Plant Pathology, BAU, Sabour, for providing us necessary facilities to undertake the studies. Conflict of interest. Nil.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, F., & Hossain, I. (2005). Effect of pathotypes of Bipolaris sorokiniona on leaf grain yield of wheat C V. Kanchan inoculated at maximum tillering stage under field condition. Bangladesh Journal of Seed Science and Technology, 9: 1-4.
- Ahmed, H. U. & Hossain, M. M. (1985). Final Report (1982-1985). Crop diseases survey and Establishment of a Herbarium at BARI. Plant Pathology Division. BARI Joydebpur, Gazipur, 107pp.
- Alam, K. B., Malaker, R. X., Shaheed, M. A., Ahmed, M. U., Ahmed, F. & Haque, M. S. (1995). Yield loss assessment of wheat due to Bipolaris leaf blight. *Bangladesh Journal of Plant Pathology*, 11(1-2): 73-75.
- Bhatti, M. H. R. (1988). Antifungal properties of plant leaf decoction against leaf rust of wheat. *Pakistan Journal*, 20(2): 259-269.
- Duveiller, E. & Dubin, H. J. (2002). *Helminthosporium* leaf blights: spot blotch and tan spot. Plant Production and Protection, Rome, Italy, *FAO 30*: 285–299.
- Giri, G. K., Gade, R. M. & Patil, C. U. (2001). Seed borne Bipolaris sorokiniana in wheat and its chemical control. Journal of Soils and Crops, 11: 109-112.

- Goulart, A. C. P., Paiva, F. A. & Andrade, P. J. M. (1995). Effect of fungicide spraying on above ground parts of wheat and incidence of *B. sorokiniana* and *Pyricularia* grisea associated with seeds. *Fitopatologia Brasileira*, 20(4): 628-632.
- Hasan, M. M., Ahmed, F. Islam, M. R., & Murad, K. F. I. (2012). In vitro effect of botanical extract and fungicides against *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, causal agent of leaf blotch of barley. *Agroforestry Environment*, 6(1): 83-87.
- Hossain, I., & Azad, A. K. (1992). *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, its reaction and effect on yield of wheat. *Progressive Agriculture*, 5(2): 63-69.
- Hossain, I., Rashid, A. Q. M. B., Fakir, G. A., & Meah, M. B. (1998). Leaf blight of wheat, its status and impact on grain formation. First National Workshop on Seed Pathology. Progress and Prospect of Seed Pathological Research in Bangladesh. Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. pp 9-10.
- Kumar, A., Solanki, I. S. & Kumari, S. (2014). Management of Foliar Blight (Spot Blotch) of Wheat the Most Threatening Disease of North Eastern Plain Zone (NEPZ) through Chemicals. *Journal of Agroecology* and Natural Resource Management, pp: 4-6.
- Mahpatra, S. & Das, S. (2013). Efficacy of different fungicides against spot blotch of wheat in terai regions of West Bengal. Journal of Wheat Research., 5(2): 18-21.
- Maity, S. S., Sanyal, N. K. & Das, S. (2000). Relative performance of some fungicides for the management of leaf blight of wheat caused by *Helminthosporium* sativum under field condition. *Plant Protection Bulletin*, 52: 31-34.
- Malaker, P. K., Mian, I. H., Maniruzzaman, K., Reza, M. M. A. (2009). Survival of *Bipolaris Sorokiniana* (Sacc.) Shoemaker in soil and residue of wheat. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 36: 133-137.
- Naresh, P., Biswas, S. K., Kumar, U. & Rajik, M. (2009). Effect of Media, pH, Temperature, Host range and Fungicides on *Bipolaris sorokiniana*. Annals of Plant Protection Science, 17(2): 394-397.
- Pandey, S. & Tewari, A. N. (1999). Field evaluation of wheat varieties against leaf blight and its control. *Plant Disease Research*, 14: 52-54.
- Pingali, P. L. (1999). CIMMYT 1998-99 World Wheat Facts and Trends. Global Wheat Research in a Changing World: Challenges and Achievements. CIMMYT, Mexico. *Plant Disease Research*, 16(1): 113-115.
- Razzaque, M. A. & Hossain, A. B. S. (1991). The wheat development programme in Bangladesh, "Wheat for the non-traditional warm areas" cited by D. A. Saunders. Proc. Intl. Conf. July 29-August 3, 1990. Foz dolguacu, Brazil. CIMMW. pp. 44-54.
- Samia, T., Sultana, S., Adhikary, S. K., & Quddus, K. G. (2015). Effect of fungicides against *Bipolaris* sorokiniana isolates collected from different wheat growing regions of Bangladesh. *Mycopathology*, 13(2): 81-88.
- Selvakumar, R., Saharan, M. S., Kumar, S. & Sharma, I. (2015). Efficacy of fungicides as seed treatment and foliar application for managing leaf blight (*Bipolaris* sorokiniana) on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Wheat Research, 7(2): 14-18.
- Sharvelle, E. G. (1961). The nature and use of modern fungicides. Burgess Publishing Co, Minnesota, USA, pp 308.
- Singh, D. V. & Srivastava, K. D. (1997). Foliar blights and *Fusarium* scab of wheat: Present status and strategies

Kumar et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal

13(3): 441-447(2021)

446

for management. In: Management of threatening plant disease of national importance. Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi. pp. 1-16.

Singh, R. V., Singh, A. K., Singh, D., Singh, S. P. & Chaudhary, V. P. (1995). Management of foliar blights of wheat through chemicals. *Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*, 25: 113. Vincent, J. M. (1947). Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*, 159: 850.

Zhang, Z. & Yuen, G. Y. (1999). Biological Control of Bipolaris sorokiniana on Tall Fescue by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Strain C3. The American Phytopathological Society, 89(9): 819-823.

**How to cite this article:** Kumar, V., Kumar, G., Azad, C.S., Kumar, A. and Raj, M. (2021). Impact of Fungicides on Bipolaris sorokiniana causing Spot Blotch Disease of Wheat under in *Vitro* and in *Vivo* Condition. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *13*(3a): 441-447.